### **One Size Does Not Fit All!**

The Diversity & Evolution of QRA Throughout a Project Lifecycle









One Size Does Not Fit All! The Diversity & Evolution of QRA Throughout a Project Lifecycle



Safran Risk

Networking | Education | Opportunities

### **One Size Does Not Fit All!**

The Diversity & Evolution of QRA Throughout a Project Lifecycle

...Or All the things that Ai Still <u>Can't</u> Do! ...Or All the things that you could be

doing, but probably aren't!

















### Quantitative **R**isk **A**nalysis

REALITY



- Proportional Mitigation Recommendations
- Improved Future Estimates
- Lessons Learned & Shared





#### 2012 Supported a Business Case for Pre-Fab Modules to de-risk a Megaproject

- Positive not Doom (Risk as a force for Good)

- Genuinely Helped







### 2013

<u>Justified millions dollars worth of</u> <u>additional contingency</u> <u>via Change Control</u>

"This is unheard-of!"





#### 2015 QSRA challenged key railway access constraint

- Convinced 3<sup>rd</sup> Party to re-plan their work

- It was easier than expected
  - All parties Win-Win





### 2016

My QRA Fundamentally Challenged the Design of Int. Airport's Railway Station

### "Best QRA I've ever seen"

- ECI Phase compared options, to find One that could fit the ridged budget

- Great Collaboration Effort







#### HS2 route



### 2018 Being <u>humbled</u>

- Learning the hard way That some innovations don't always scale-up!

- Making Mistakes is How we Learn
  - Grace & Empathy difficult on megaprojects and polarized





2019 Discovered Riskiest Works Package was a valid descope option





**2019** Discovering Safran Risk's <u>alternative</u> approach to ICSRA with CBS + Introducing Joint Confidence Levels (JCL)



HOW MUCH YOU LEARN

FROM MISTAKES FROM PRACTICE FROM THEORY ROBERTOFERRARO.ART

How many of my highlights do you think a Forecasting Ai system would have helped?



# "Stable World Principle"



Many Ai systems are designed to do 1 thing really well!

Whereas, in my career I had been tasked with answering different questions within different contexts that would be completely unfamiliar to a robot.

Like any machine, if you try operating them outside of their specified parameters, like an urban car going off-road, or in the water, then you are going to undermine or break that machine as it can't handle variation of circumstance.

### **Reality Check**





### = Limited Value





Necessity, is the mother of invention.

I don't think there's a textbook for this. These are just the things I could imagine, based off my actual experiences!!!

#### Someone asks:

"We need to 'prove or disprove X' but we don't know how?"

#### Me:

"Have you thought about building a toy model to represent it? Then we stress-test solutions & approaches! No?

- OK, let me help you!"









		Integrate Schedule Ris Joint Confide (JCL	d Cost k Analysis ence Level )	Ren Str Pro	naining Contingency ess Testing & Actual gress / Performance Extrapolation	Expected vs. Risk Adjusted vs. Change Managed Future Revenue Models (Owner					
Forecasting		Proj Conc	ect Behavi ditional/Prc	our Ant babilis	ticipation via tic Branching	Operator) +					
	Cont Vo	ingency Disco <sup>,</sup> alidation / Sett	very & ing	De	lay Penalty Exposure	Profit/Loss					
		Inflation / Esc Prolong Calcula	calation + ation tions		Disallowable Cost Exposure						
	Project Phase / Lifecycle										
-	Conce	ot Definition	Design	Build	Handover & Close-Out	Benefits Realisation					



С

Decision Support & Scenario omparison	Systemic Risk & Correlation Stress Testing	Manufacturer Warranty Period vs. Delayed Contractor Handover (Misaligned Liability Negotiations)							
	Scope & Method Optioneering	Change Manageme	e ent &		Expected vs. Risk				
	Weather Downtime Modelling & Climate Scenario Testing	Opportun Validatic	ity n		Change Managed Future Revenue Models				
	Contingency Discover / Setting	y & Validation	Prog	ramme Recovery /	(Owner Operator) +				
	Contractor Hando Exposure & Date N	over Delay egotiation	Acce Ana	lysis Stress Testing	Contractor Profit/Loss				
	Project Behaviour Anticipation via Conditional/Probabilistic Branching								
		Pro	ject Phas	e / Lifecycle					
	Concept Definition	Design Bu	uild	Handover & Close-Out	Benefits Realisation				



	"De Makin Sirr	ecision- g Training hulator"	"Sh Arou for n for	nut Down & 1 nd" fast sim ninute-by-r ecasting/te	Turn- ulation ninute sting	Perceived Risk vs. Actual Impacted Risk		
Quality		Valie	date Sc	chedule Log	ic	Comparison & Lessons to feed into future		
Improvements & Insight	Work- Historic Perfo	Package cal Actual rmance	-Multi Plan Subm	Contractor /Risk Assess ission Com	Tender ment oarison	projects		
Discovery		Collaboro E Risk Adju <u>Critic</u>	ative "W & Test H sted Sc cal Cos	What-If" Workshops Hypothesis Schedule, Near Critical Path(s), Sts & Cashflow Visualised				
	Contin	gency Disc / Se	overy & tting	k Validation	Bu	isiness Intelligence Integration		
			Estir	nate Uncert Risk Rolli	ainty to ng Wave	Discrete		
		ldentifyi of Mitigation	ng & Test Proposo	ting (Combina Is (Cost Benefi	tions) t Analysis)			
					Project Pha	se / Lifecycle		🕨 😿 Safran R
-	Сог	ncept De	finition	Design	Build	Handover & Close-Out	Benefits Realisation	

		Identifying & Testing (Combination of Mitigation Proposals (Cost Benefit An							
Quality		"Shu for	it Down & Turn-Around" fast si minute-by-minute forecasting		simulation ng/testing		Perceived Risk vs.		
mnrovements	"Decision-Making		Test Schedule Assumpt		otions		Actual Impacted Risk		
£	Training Sir	mulator"	Validate Schedule Log		gic		feed into	future projects	
Insight	Work-Packag Actual Perfo	e Historical ormance	Multi- Assessn	Contractor Tender I nent Submission Co	Plan/Risk omparison				
Discovery	С	ollaborative	"What-If"	Workshops & Test F	lypothesis				-
	Continge	Busir	iess In	telligence					
			Estimo	ate Uncertainty to D	viscrete Risk R	olling	Wave		
Decision	Corre	Systemic Ris Plation Stress	k & Testing	& Weather Downtime Testing & Climate Scenari		e Modelling Nanufacture vs. Delaye		Warranty Period d Contractor	Expected vs. Risk Adjusted
Support &	Scope & Method Optioneering			Change Management & Opportunity Validation			ndover (Mi Negc	isaligned Liability tiations)	Future Revenue Models (Owner Operato <u>r</u> )
Scenario	Contingency Discovery & Validation / Setting Pro					Programme Recovery / Acceleration Cost			+ Contractor Profit/Loss
Comparison	Contr	actor Hando	xposure & Date Benefit Analysis Stress Testing						
	Nego	tiation	Project	t Behaviour Anticipo	ation via Con	ditiona	al/Probabi	ilistic Branching	
	Integrated Cost Schedule Risk Analysis Joint Confidence Level (JCL)				Remaining Contingency Stress Testing & Actual Progress/Performance Extrapolation				Expected vs. Risk Adjusted vs. Change Managed
Forecasting	Contingency Discovery & Validation / Setting				Delay Penalty Exposure			(Owner <u>Operator</u> )	
	In Prole			Inflation/Escalation + rolongation Calculations		Disallowable Cost Exposure		+ Contractor Profit/Loss	
	Conce	pt De	finition	Design	Build	Hand	dover & (	Close-Out	Benefits Realisation

Safran Risk



## Batman Utility Belt

Because a regular belt's too mainstream





### CONCLUSIONS

### All models are wrong but some are useful

This remains true of both Traditional QRA and Ai that's trained on poor quality inconsistent data









### **Key Take-Aways**

- QRA can be used in more ways than most people realize
- Ai (<u>currently</u>) can only do 1 or 2 of the 20+ things listed
- There's more value hidden in the <u>collaboration</u> than the QRA outcome

Do what you can, with what you have, to answer specific, targeted questions.

Help your colleagues with what matters to them (Don't fixate on contractual requirements)!





# Time for Questions?

l've got some for you!

#### You can recognize these famous faces on only a small fraction of the full data!







# "The airplane is parked on the tarmac"

\*Gravity \*Orientation \*Momentum \*Public Space \*Dashcam \*Emergency Breaking

#CONTEXT SENSING Current Ai lacks context & the VICARIOUS FUNCTION of the human brain (an infant would know something was wrong with this image)



#### **The Russian Tank Fallacy**

*Possibly an Urban myth but the message of the story is valid.* 

Supposedly an algorithm was trained by the US Army to 99%+ accuracy of identifying Friendly tanks.

However, when tested outside of lab conditions, accuracy dropped to 50% no better than flipping a coin.



How to Star Gerd Gigerenzer Sma Why Human Intelligence Still **Beats Algorithms** Smart World

It was later discovered that the algorithm had learned to identify US tanks by glossy marketing photos!

### The 13 Keys To the White House (Heuristic)

KEY 1 (Party Mandate): After the midterm elections, the incumbent party holds more seats in the U.S. did after the previous midterm elections.

KEY 2 (Contest): There is no serious contest for the incumbent-party nomination.

KEY 3 (Incumbency): The incumbent-party candidate is the sitting president.

KEY 4 (Third party): There is no significant third-party or independent campaign.

KEY 5 (Short-term economy): The economy is not in recession during the election campaign.

KEY 6 (Long-term economy): Real per-capita economic growth during the term equals or exceed terms.

KEY 7 (Policy change): The incumbent administration effects major changes in national policy

KEY 8 (Social unrest): There is no sustained social unrest during the term.

KEY 11 (Foreign/military success): The incumbent administration achieves a major success in foreign or ma

KEY 12 (Incumbent charisma): The incumbent-party candidate is charismatic or a national hero.

KEY 13 (Challenger charisma): The challenging-party candidate is not charismatic or a national hero.

The Revolutionary System That Reveals How KEY 10 (Foreign/military failure): The incumbent administration suffers no major failure in foreign or Presidential Elections Really Work m the Civil War to the 21st Century

**Prediction** Without Polls

*"Lichtman's was one of the rare dissenting voices among the mass of experts who predicted a clear win for Clinton.* 

HE PREDICTED THAT Trump would win. It was not the first time he was right; he had predicted all elections correctly since 1984. Prediction Without Polls

The Revolutionary System

That Reveals How

Presidential Elections Really Work

the Civil War to the 21st Century

His method does not rely on number crunching with big data, nor ...probabilities... it simply predicts who will win (where the more) 'yes' answers to the questions favours reelection of the incumbent party, and a 'no' does not."

# THANK YOU

